

VSLA By the Numbers

A Comprehensive Analysis of the Impact and ROI of VSLAs

Authors: Heather Krause and the We All Count research team.¹

Overview

Village Savings and Loans Associations (VSLAs) have been a foundational programmatic approach at CARE since 1991. Since then, CARE has helped over 13.7 million people join savings groups. The savings group model has been adopted and adapted by a variety of organizations globally. Through this report, we will examine the social and financial effects and returns of savings groups as well as how groups affected members' resilience to COVID-19. The results gave an overview of the financial return on investment (ROI), group economic outcomes, savings groups costs, and individual and household effects for savings groups both inside and outside of CARE.

Methodology

This analysis was completed by an external and independent expert with an external set of data. This research focused on six countries (Ethiopia, Burundi, Malawi, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and Haiti). The report combines a wide range of data sources including SAVIX data, internal CARE VSLA data, CARE program budgets, other publicly available Savings Group budgets, CARE evaluation reports, and other publicly available research. The findings and estimates are derived directly from these data as well as from a meta-analysis of existing research (a comprehensive list of all literature used in this research can be found at the end of this brief). Meta-analyses were conducted using a Bayesian multilevel analysis of all peer-reviewed and white paper impact assessments of VSLAs. Statistical models were built to estimate cost of VSLA establishment and ROI with the data used in the analysis. Some estimates were triangulated with data from non-CARE savings groups to check for general accuracy. For estimates of changes in social well-being, a scale of "much better" to "much worse" was used which was then converted to scores from 1 to -1.

The CARE projects used in this analysis are listed below:

- SPIR Ethiopia
- GRAD L4R
- Burundi EKATA
- Indashyikirwa
- Burundi Win Win
- ECRP Malawi
- Shouhardo III
- Kore Lavi DFAP
- UBALE DFAP
- Mozambique Reconstruir

¹ [We All Count](#) is an independent research firm that examined data from CARE and other sources to produce this report.

Overview of VSLA Stats

This section provides figures on the numbers of VSLA groups, members, savings and demographics across the six countries studied. This data was sourced from SAVIX.

VSLA Groups by Country

	Ethiopia	Burundi	Malawi	Bangladesh	Rwanda	Haiti
All SGs in Country	34,896	31,600	54,885	9,330	77,914	2,289
CARE formed VSLAs in country	6,738	14,676	3,914	1,125	5,359	412
Percent of the SG that are CARE formed	19%	46%	7%	12%	7%	18%

VSLA Members by Country

	Ethiopia	Burundi	Malawi	Bangladesh	Rwanda	Haiti
Members in Total	691,397	774,237	427,140	89,455	2,025,152	57,253
Members in CARE VSLAs	168,450	435,008	34,397	11,813	238,815	3,296
Percent of Members in CARE VSLAs	24%	56%	8%	13%	12%	6%

Percent of Women in Groups

	Ethiopia	Burundi	Malawi	Bangladesh	Rwanda	Haiti
Percent Women All Groups	71	71	83	94	72	68
Percent Women CARE Groups	55	83	82	95	76	80

Total Savings (USD) Per Country

	Ethiopia	Burundi	Malawi	Bangladesh	Rwanda	Haiti
Total Savings	7,467,088	14,865,350	7,688,520	5,152,608	34,022,554	4,671,845
Total Savings CARE Groups	2,627,820	9,396,173	660,413	637,875	8,310,745	245,222

Average Savings Per Member

	Ethiopia	Burundi	Malawi	Bangladesh	Rwanda	Haiti
Savings Per Member All Groups	9	16	15	48	14	68
Savings Per Member CARE Groups	13	18	16	45	29	62

Financial Effects and Returns

Financial ROI

In order to calculate a return on investment, the financial benefit for a typical participant over three years was considered as well as the financial benefits for a replicated VSLA for two years related to the cost that the donor/implementer spends to set up and oversee the VSLA for its first cycle. Using internal CARE data such as budgets, evaluation, and impact reports, the average ROI of costs to establish a saving group was **between 7:1 and 20:1**. For every \$1 invested by CARE, there is evidence for the savings of a typical VSLA participant to increase between \$7 and \$20. For the average VSLA participant, median income increased by \$9.35 (+/- \$0.55 USD) within the first year of joining the group for each \$1 USD invested. Additionally, average income increased by \$18.85 (+/- \$1.15 USD) within five years of each \$1 USD invested. Using industry data and internal CARE data, this analysis showed that for every \$250 USD invested three net new children attended school.

The financial effect of a VSLA appears to outlast the formal lifecycle of the group. Evaluation of VSLAs as they phased out found that the return on savings (ROS) was 50% (+/-10%) during the supported formal lifecycle of the group and decreased to around 35% (+/-19%) after the VSLA is phased out. However, the positive outcomes and impact of participating in VSLAs continue even after project phase out. Members continue saving and getting benefits. Share value even increase for 57% (+/-13%) of groups in the available data.

Impacts on Economic Outcomes

VSLA members see savings increase. A typical member of a moderately successful savings group sees their **total savings increase by 153% over the first year of participation**. This varies according to country and year; it is possible for a member to see a loss over the course of a year in times of economic shock. However, the meta-analysis estimates that a typical member a VSLA can expect to see a *minimum* increase of 22% (+/- 6%). Income also increases for members over time. Among individuals who can be followed over time, **the average monthly income increase over the next five years is 6-fold**. It should be noted that individuals who can be followed over time are, by nature, more stable and therefore more likely to see higher income increases. Through a statistical analysis of a combination of complete and incomplete data from individuals, a population average for VSLA members is an average increase of 2.75x.

VSLA membership appears to stabilize income sources. A majority of members in savings groups see the number of distinct income generating activities decrease while their income from their primary income generating activity increases and stabilizes.

Using both CARE and external evaluation survey data, between 80% and 89% of women in a savings group increased their income over the five years after initially joining. Additionally, between 64% and 80% of women used that extra income for family education.

Costs to Establish Savings Groups

For these analyses, a few assumptions must be considered. Considering the take-up rates between 22% and 56% among women, the most conservative estimate of the costs of the program per household in the cluster would be based on the assumptions that all the male members have the same take-up rate as that evidenced in female members and that the former come from different households than the latter.

Using a statistical model built from both CARE and external project data, the average costs of the program per participant are \$7 and \$11 based on these assumptions. The average cost of establishment of a new savings group that includes non-savings components is \$29-\$50 USD. The average cost of layering non-savings components into an existing group is \$44-\$55 USD. The primary driver of the differences is the efficiency and effectiveness of local staff as well as the current state of the local economy.

Cost to Establish VSLA per Member	
VSLA Only	\$7-11 USD
New VSLA + Layered Programming	\$29 -50 USD
Existing VSLA + Layered Programming	\$44-55 USD

ROI on Different Types of Groups	
VSLA Only	
After 1 year	14:1
After 2 years	9:1
After 3 years	6:1
New VSLA + Layered Programming	
After 1 year	22:1
After 2 years	19:1
Existing VSLA + Layered Programming	
After 1 year	13:1
After 2 years	12:1

* The level of certainty for VSLA only is +/- 5; for VSLA +layered new is +/- 8; for VSLA +layered new is +/- 14

Social Effects and Returns

Individual Effects

Asset Ownership. A typical woman member of a moderately successful savings group sees the likelihood of owning at least one asset increase by 54% (+/- 6%) Additionally, the likelihood of her having the control that asset without asking anyone permission increases by 37% (+/-9%).

Increased social well-being & higher optimism and aspiration. For women VSLA participants, an *average positive change of 0.840* was calculated on a scale of 1 to -1. For male VSLA participants, the average change was 0.691. The same scale was used to measure optimism and aspirations, with an *average positive change of 0.707 for women members* and 0.671 for men. The level of certainty for both scales is 0.08.

Women in leadership roles. In a meta-analysis of evaluations on VSLA effect on leadership, we find a relationship between VSLA membership and local increases in women being represented in decision-making bodes in their villages, divisions, and districts. An approximate measure of the strength of the relationship is that members are 15% (+/-5%) more likely to be in leadership positions. This seems to be related to increased confidence as VSLA women are actively engaging in local decision-making spaces.

Household Effects

Food Security. One in five households who joined a savings group consumed an extra meal per day, up from a typical 1 to 2 meals per day. For programs that can follow households for at least two years, we find that VSLA member households who started in positions of extreme food insecurity achieved a 75 - 80% increase in food security. VSLA member households who started in positions of moderate food insecurity achieved a 40 - 50% increase in food security.

Household income of VSLA participants. The meta-analysis shows that between 55-65% of participants

reported that they recorded a large increase in household income per month. Additionally, 35-40% of the households indicated that their income increased by a moderate amount.

VSLAs and COVID-19

Evidence across sub-Saharan Africa indicates that savings groups have supported the economic resilience of their members during COVID-19. Results from various studies suggest that households with savings group members were less likely to experience food insecurity and had a lower likelihood of suffering income shocks, a reduction in food consumption, and reductions in profitability than households without savings group members.

While evidence indicates that savings groups in sub-Saharan Africa continued meeting and have supported household resilience during the COVID-19 crisis, savings groups face challenges and limited resources that threaten their sustainability and effectiveness over time.

Due to increased disbursements to members and non-members in need of cash during the crisis, savings group funds have been depleted in some cases.

The economic crisis has disrupted the accumulation of new savings because of reduced employment opportunities and income, and, while the use of digital meetings and mobile money has helped those with access, the shift to digitization has created barriers for the most marginalized women.

VSLA member households weathered the shock better. On average, VSLA member households appear to have been 50-60% less likely to experience food security and 75-85% more likely to have savings that they could draw on during the COVID-19 disruptions. These averages range from 22% to 78% for food insecurity and 45% to 90% for available savings.

Overall, approximately 81% of active VSLAs were still saving during lockdown, although with less frequency and lower levels of activity.

VSLAs were often able to continue operating during the pandemic because of digitization, access to mobile money, and by meeting with a smaller number of members. Most savings groups continued meeting after gradual relaxation of lockdowns, but with adaptations for safety and hygiene.

Percent of groups that used mobile or alternative means of managing savings: 50-60%

Percent of groups that slowly maintained status quo by using new protocols: 25-30%

Percent of groups that were suspended long-term: 15=25%

Nearly all VSLA member households experienced reduced incomes directly related to the pandemic. Of the VSLAs that continued to operate, 75-80% used their existing funds to support member households with more funds than normal. Among programs who surveyed members during the pandemic, approximately 55-65% said their VSLA used some portion of their existing social funds to support non-members in need.

VSLA and Cash Transfers during COVID-19

There is evidence that combining cash transfers with established VSLA groups increases the benefit of each approach. In general, the addition of a cash transfer program to VSLA members contributes to an additional 30-35% increase in food security, 70-85% increase in incomes, and a twelve-fold increase in savings.

In areas where emergency cash transfer systems were in place, households with women savings group members were, on average, 3.7 percentage points less likely to report that they faced any food security challenges than non-members households. Additionally, they were 6.3 percentage points less likely to report that they went a whole day without food in the last 30 days as well as 4.2 percentage points less likely to report that they had skipped meals in the last 30 days after controlling for various in-person baseline and demographic characteristics.

When mothers were given the direct cash transfer, it had a significant effect on the share of total expenditure allocated to food compared to when the direct cash was given to fathers. Mothers gave between 4 and 7 percent more of the cash for food, particularly food directed towards children.

REFERENCES

CARE Reports

CARE (2015). *The resilience champions*. https://www.care-international.org/files/files/Rapport_Resilience_Sahel.pdf

CARE (2019a). *Costs and benefits of applying a gender-transformative approach in agriculture programming: evaluation of the EKATA model in Burundi; a win-win for gender, agriculture and nutrition, testing a gender-transformative approach from Asia in Africa*. <http://careevaluations.org/wp-content/uploads/Win-Win-Final-Cost-Benefit-Analysis.pdf>

CARE (2019a). *How Ethiopian women are creating a future that is female*. <https://www.careinternational.org.uk/stories/how-ethiopian-women-are-creating-future-female>

CARE (2020a). *Rapid gender analysis north east Nigeria – Borno*. <http://careevaluations.org/evaluation/care-rapid-gender-analysis-northeast-nigeria-borno/>

CARE (2020b). *Uganda COVID-19 rapid gender analysis*. <http://careevaluations.org/evaluation/uganda-covid-19-rapid-gender-analysis/>

CARE (2020c). *Malawi VSLA Survey for COVID-19 September 2020*. <http://careevaluations.org/evaluation/malawi-vsla-survey-for-covid-19-september-2020/>

CARE (2020d). *Coping with COVID-19: The experience of savings groups in Nigeria*. https://care.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Coping-With-COVID_Nigeria.pdf

CARE (2020e). *Learning brief: VSLA and CARE adaptations to COVID-19 and past crises*. https://care.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/care_covid-19_vsla_program_examples_final_external.pdf

CARE (2020f). *Village savings and loan associations*. https://www.care.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021_VSLA_Report_Legal_Online.pdf

CARE (2020g). *Analyse Rapide Genre pour COVID-19*. <http://www.careevaluations.org/wp-content/uploads/Niger-Rapid-Gender-Analysis-CARE-et-GENCAP-final.pdf>

CARE (2020h). *Learning brief: VSLA and CARE adaptations to COVID-19 and past crises*. <https://www.findevgateway.org/paper/2020/04/learning-brief-vsla-and-care-adaptations-covid-19-and-past-crises>

CARE (2021a). *Combining VSLAs and cash transfers to improve humanitarian outcomes*. https://mangotree.org/files/galleries/CARE_Combining-VSLAs-and-Cash-Transfers_20210314_FINAL.pdf

CARE (2021b). *Cash and voucher assistance in response to the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learned from a CARE multi-country program*. <https://www.calpnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/ninja-forms/2/MARS-CVA-Learning.final-updated.v2.pdf>

CARE (2021c). *VSLA in emergencies*. <https://www.care.org/our-work/education-and-work/microsavings/vsla-in-emergencies/>

CARE (2021d). *VSLAiE: combining VSLAs & cash for humanitarian outcomes*. <https://www.care.org/news-and-stories/resources/vslaie-to-improve-humanitarian-outcomes/>

CARE (2021e). *Are there gendered impacts to multi-purpose cash transfers intended to build resilience?* https://www.calpnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/1564153160.CARE_ACF_Gendered-Dimension_-_MPC_Disaster-Resilience_brief-1.pdf

External Reports

Adepoju, P. (2020). *Africa's struggle with inadequate COVID-19 testing.*

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247\(20\)30014-8/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247(20)30014-8/fulltext)

Agene, M., & Onyishi, B. (2020). *Solidarity and power: impact of Covid-19 pandemic on women's groups in northern Nigeria.*

<https://www.womenforwomen.org/blogs/solidarity-and-power-impact-covid-19-pandemic-womens-groups-northern-nigeria>

Agüero, J. (2020). *COVID-19 and the rise of intimate partner violence.*

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305750X20303442?via%3Dihub>

Allen, B. (2020). *How SILCs have responded to the COVID-19 pandemic: learning from surveys in Latin America and Africa.*

<https://www.crs.org/our-work-overseas/research-publications/how-silcs-have-responded-covid-19-pandemic-learning-surveys>

Andam, K., Edeh, H., Oboh, V., Pauw, K., & Thurlow, J. (2020) *Estimating the economic costs of COVID-19 in Nigeria.*

<https://www.ifpri.org/publication/estimating-economic-costs-covid-19-nigeria>

Anderson, C. L., de Hoop, T., Desai, S., Siwach, G., Meysonnat, A., Gupta, R., Haroon, N., Howlett, M., Kolla, N., Sidhu, A., Paul, S., Belyakova, Y., & Singh, R. S. (2019). *Investing in women's groups: a portfolio evaluation of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation's Investments in South Asia and Africa.*

<https://womensgroupevidence.org/sites/default/files/Investing-in-Women-s-Groups-A-Portfolio-Evaluation-of-the-Bill-and-Melinda-Gates-Foundation-s-Investments-in-South-Asia-and-Africa.pdf>

Anderson, C. L., de Hoop, T., De Milliano, M., Hennessy, C., & Meysonnat, A. (2021). *Savings groups and individual resilience after COVID-19: evidence from longitudinal data from Nigeria.*

<https://womensgroupevidence.org/sites/default/files/2021-10/ECWG-Paper%20COVID%20Africa.pdf>

Androsik, A. (2020). *Gendered understanding of Ebola crisis in Sierra Leone: lessons for Covid-19.*

<https://populationandeconomics.pensoft.net/article/53301/>

Anguyo, I., & Storer, L. (2020). *In times of COVID-19 Kampala has become 'un-Ugandan'.*

<https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/africaatlse/2020/04/09/kampala-epidemic-un-ugandan-society-in-times-covid-19/>

Annan, J., Bundervoet, T., & Costigan, J. (2013). *A randomized impact evaluation of village savings and loans associations and family-based interventions in Burundi.*

<https://resourcecentre.savethechildren.net/document/randomized-impact-evaluation-village-savings-and-loans-associations-and-family-based/>

Arasio, R., Catley, A., & Ayele, M. (2020). *Rapid assessment of COVID-19 impacts in Karamoja, Uganda.*

<https://karamojaresilience.org/publications/rapid-assessment-of-covid-19-impacts-in-karamoja-uganda/>

Armand, A., Attanosio, O., Carneiro, P., & Lechene, V. (2013). *The impact of gender-targeted cash transfers in North Macedonia.*

<https://www.povertyactionlab.org/evaluation/impact-gender-targeted-cash-transfers-north-macedonia>

Armand, A., Attanosio, O., Carneiro, P., & Lechene, V. (2020). *The effect of gender-targeted conditional cash transfers on household expenditures: evidence from a randomized experiment.*

<http://www.alexarmand.org/Papers/Ungated/Macedonia-CCT-EI.pdf>

Athumani, H. (2021). *Uganda lifts some COVID-19 restrictions.*

https://www.voanews.com/a/covid-19-pandemic_uganda-lifts-some-covid-19-restrictions/6208989.html

Babatunde, R. O., & Olagunju, F. I. (2020). *Cash or food transfer? Assessing the effectiveness of social safety nets for households during COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria.*

https://www.uneca.org/sites/default/files/AEC/2020/presentations/cash_or_food_transfer-assessing_the_effectiveness_of_social_safety_nets_for_households_during_covid-19_pandemic_in_nigeria.pdf

Barooah, B., Chinoy, S. L., Dubey, P., Sarkar, R., Bagai, A., & Rathinam, F. (2019). *Improving and sustaining livelihoods through group-based interventions: mapping the evidence.* <https://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/publications/evidence-gap-maps/improving-and-sustaining-livelihoods-through-group>

Bene, C., Frankenberger, T. R., & Nelson, S. (2015). *Design, monitoring and evaluation of resilience interventions: conceptual and empirical considerations.* <https://primarysources.brillonline.com/browse/human-rights-documents-online/design-monitoring-and-evaluation-of-resilience-interventions-conceptual-and-empirical-considerations;hrdhrd01482015183>

Beyene, N., & Dinbabo, M. (2019). *An empirical evaluation of the link between women participation in Village Savings and Loans Association (VSLA) and poverty reduction in Ethiopia.*

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335018091_An_Empirical_Evaluation_of_the_Link_between_Women_Participation_in_Village_Savings_and_Loans_Association_VSLA_and_Poverty_Reduction_in_Ethiopia

Blattman, C., Green, E.P., Jamison, J., Lehmann, M.C., & Annan, J. (2016). *The returns to microenterprise support among the ultrapoor: a field experiment in postwar Uganda.*

<https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/app.20150023>

Brice, N. (2021). *Three steps to successfully integrate VSLAs into humanitarian programming.*

<https://seepnetwork.org/Blog-Post/Three-Steps-to-Successfully-Integrate-VSLAs-into-Humanitarian-Programming>

Brody, C., de Hoop, T., Vojtkova, M., Warnock, R., Dunbar, M., Murthy, P., & Dworkin, S. (2017). *Can self-help group programs improve women's empowerment? A systematic review.*

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19439342.2016.1206607>

Brugh, K., Angeles, G., Mvula, P., Tsoka, M. & Handa, S. (2018). *Impacts of the Malawi social cash transfer program on household food and nutrition security.*

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0306919216304833>

Burki, T. (2020). *The indirect impact of COVID-19 on women.*

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099\(20\)30568-5/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(20)30568-5/fulltext)

Buvinic, M., Noe, L., Swanson, E. (2020). *Understanding women's and girls' vulnerabilities to the COVID-19 pandemic: a gender analysis and data dashboard of low- and lower- middle income countries.*

<https://data2x.org/resource-center/understanding-womens-and-girls-vulnerabilities-to-the-covid-19-pandemic-a-gender-analysis-and-data-dashboard-of-low-and-lower-middle-income-countries/>

Climate and Development Knowledge Network (2020). *Community savings groups play crucial role in Bangladesh during COVID-19 crisis.*

https://cdkn.org/2020/08/community-savings-groups-play-crucial-role-during-covid-19-crisis/?loclang=en_gb

Copley, A., Decker, A., Delavelle, F., Goldstein, M., O'Sullivan, M., & Papineni, S. (2020). *COVID-19 pandemic through a gender lens*. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/34016>

Crailsheim, M., & Reynolds, R. (2020). *Impact of COVID-19 on refugee saving groups in Uganda*. <https://www.visionfund.org/stories/impact-covid-19-refugee-saving-groups-uganda>

De Hoop, T., Desai, S., Siwach, G., Holla, C., Belyakova, Y., Paul, S., & Singh, R. (2020). *Women's groups and COVID-19: Challenges, engagement, and opportunities*. <https://womensgroupevidence.org/sites/default/files/2020-06/June%201%20COVID%20ECWG%20Final.pdf>

De Hoop, T., Mulyampati, T., Namisango, E., Ojambo, K., Otike, C., Muhidini, N., Okiria, E., Kalyango, R., Wangi, R., Ndagire, R., Obuku, E., & White, H. (2020). *The evidence base and gaps related to women's groups in Uganda*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344059586_Protocol_for_a_Scoping_Review_of_the_Evidence_Base_and_Gaps_Related_to_Women's_Groups_in_Uganda

Demont, T. (2013). *Poverty, access to credit and absorption of weather shocks: Evidence from Indian self-help groups*. http://www.ecineq.org/ecineq_bari13/FILESxBari13/CR2/p198.pdf

Demont, T. (2020). *Coping with shocks: the impact of self-help groups on migration and food security*.
Dixit, S., Ogundeji, YK., & Onwujekwe, O. (2020). *How well has Nigeria responded to COVID- 19?*
<https://www.brookings.edu/blog/future-development/2020/07/02/how-well-has-nigeria-responded-to-covid-19/>

Education ministry drafts new guidelines for reopening schools (2020). *The Independent*.
<https://www.independent.co.ug/education-ministry-drafts-new-guidelines-for-reopening-schools/>

Enhancing Community Resilience Programme (2016). *Gender analysis of village savings and loans*.
https://www.christianaid.org.uk/sites/default/files/2016-11/ECRP%20VSL%20Gender%20Analysis_Final%20Report.pdf

Eriksson, M. & Khyle, E. (2021). *Female empowerment through Village Savings and Loan Associations in Rwanda*.
<https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1574393/FULLTEXT01.pdf>

FarmAfrica (2021). *Women mean business, savings groups in Ethiopia make it happen*.
<https://www.farmafrica.org/stories-from-our-work/women-mean-business-savings-groups-in-ethiopia-make-it-happen-2>

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2016). *Productive impact of Ethiopia's social cash transfer pilot programme*.
<https://www.fao.org/3/i5166e/i5166e.pdf>

Gash, M., & Odell, K. (2013). *The evidence-based story of savings groups: A synthesis of seven randomized control trials*.
<https://mangotree.org/Resource/The-Evidence-Based-Story-of-Savings-Groups-A-Synthesis-of-Seven-Randomized-Control-Trials>

Gates Foundation (2021). *Evidence review of women's groups and COVID-19: impacts, challenges, and policy implications for savings groups in Africa*.
https://docs.gatesfoundation.org/documents/evidence_review_of_womens_groups_and_covid19_impacts_challenges_and_policy_implications_for_savings_groups_in_africa.pdf

Green, R., Mallonee, N., & Check, K. (2020). *MERL in the time of COVID-19: four case studies*.
<https://jiflfc.com/resources/merl-in-the-time-of-covid-19-four-case-studies/>

Guluma, Y. (2018). *Cash transfer programming response to the Ebola crisis in Sierra Leone and Liberia*.
<https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/calp-ebola-outcome-analysis-web.pdf>

International Committee of the Red Cross (2017). *Burundi: economic security for female heads of household*.
<https://www.icrc.org/en/document/burundi-economic-security-female-heads-household>

Janoch, E. (2020). *She told us so: rapid gender analysis: filling the data gap to build back equal*. CARE.
<https://care.org/news-and-stories/news/she-told-us-so/>

Jarden, F. (2018). *Making social norm change for women's financial inclusion*.
<https://www.shareweb.ch/site/EI/Documents/FSD/Topics/CAPEX%20E2%80%93%20Women%E2%80%99s%20financial%20inclusion/SC-Forum%202018-11%20Presentation%20Fiona%20care.pdf>

Kansiime, M. K., Tambo, J. A., Mugambi, I., Bundi, M., Kara, A., & Owuor, C. (2020). *COVID-19 implications on household income and food security in Kenya and Uganda: findings from a rapid assessment*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305750X20303260?via%3Dihub>

Karlan, D., Savonitto, B., Thuysbaert, B., & Udry, C. (2017). *Impact of savings groups on the lives of the poor*.
<https://www.pnas.org/content/114/12/3079>

Kazeem, Y. (2020, June 23). *African governments are being forced to develop social welfare programs in an economic crisis*.
<https://qz.com/africa/1872046/african-countries-offer-cash-relief-covid-19-welfare-programs/>

KPMG (2020, October 28). *Nigeria: Government and institution measures in response to COVID-19*.
<https://home.kpmg/xx/en/home/insights/2020/04/nigeria-government-and-institution-measures-in-response-to-covid.html>

Ksoll, C., Lilleor, H., Lonborg, J., & Rasmussen, O. (2016). *Impact of village savings and loan associations: evidence from a cluster randomized trial*.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304387815001352>

Langlay, N. (2014). *The impact of Ebola virus disease on village savings and loans associations: Montserrat, Margibi, Bong and Lofa Counties*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
<http://www.fao.org/emergencies/resources/documents/resources-detail/en/c/276321/>

Laouan, F. Z. (2020). *Rapid gender analysis: COVID-19 West Africa, April 2020*.
<http://www.careevaluations.org/wp-content/uploads/CARE-West-Africa-Rapid-Gender-Analysis-COVID-19-May-2020-final-EN.pdf>

Namisango, E., de Hoop, T., Holla, C., Siwach, G., Chidiac, S., Jayaram, S., Grzeslo, J., Sulaiman, M., Janoch, E., Majara, G., Adegbite, O., Anderson, L., Walcott, R., Jafa, K., Desai, S., Dirisu, O., Mulyampiti, T., Hakspiel, J., & Panetta, D. (2021). *Women's Groups and COVID-19: effects on and challenges of savings groups*.
https://womensgroupevidence.org/sites/default/files/2021-03/evidence_review_of_womens_groups_and_covid19_africa.pdf

NPC [National Population Commission] & ICF (2019). *Nigeria demographic and health survey 2018: final report*.
<http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR359/FR359.pdf>

O'Donnell, M., Bourgault, S., McDougal, L., Dehingia, N., Cheung, W.W., & Raj, A. (2021). *The impact of COVID-19 on*

women's social and economic outcomes: an updated review of the evidence.

<https://www.cgdev.org/publication/impacts-covid-19-womens-social-and-economic-outcomes-updated-review-evidence#:~:text=Policy%20Papers-,The%20Impacts%20of%20COVID%2D19%20on%20Women's%20Social%20and%20Economic,Updated%20Review%20of%20the%20Evidence&text=Evidence%20continues%20to%20mount%20that,endured%20increased%20levels%20of%20violence.>

Rochelle Parry, B., & Gordon, E. (2020). *The shadow pandemic: inequitable gendered impacts of COVID -19 in South Africa*.

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gwao.12565>

SEEP (2010). *Savings groups: what are they?* [https://mangotree.org/files/galleries/PANETTA D SEEP Savings-Groups-What-Are-They.pdf](https://mangotree.org/files/galleries/PANETTA_D_SEEP_Savings-Groups-What-Are-They.pdf)

SEEP (2018). *State of practice: Savings groups and the role of government in sub-Saharan Africa*.

<https://mangotree.org/Resource/State-of-Practice-Savings-Groups-and-the-Role-of-Government-in-Sub-Saharan-Africa>

SEEP (2019). *Digital savings groups*.

https://mangotree.org/files/galleries/SEEP_Digital-Savings-Groups_20200420.pdf

Siwach, G., de Hoop, T., Ige, M., Akinkola, A., Shuaibu, H., Noble, E., & Ascensio, L. (2021). *Women's group and member resilience after COVID-19: Evidence from Nigeria*.

Steinert, J., Zenker, J., Filipiak, U., Movsisyan, M., Cluver, L.D., & Shenderovich, Y. (2018). *Do savings promotion interventions increase household savings, consumption, and investments in sub-Saharan Africa? A systematic review and meta-analysis*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0305750X17303807>

Tanner, T., Bahadur, A., & Moench, M. (2017). *Challenges for resilience policy and practice*

<https://cdn.odi.org/media/documents/11733.pdf>

UBOS [Uganda Bureau of Statistics] & ICF. (2018). *Uganda demographic and health survey 2016*.

<http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR333/FR333.pdf>

UNICEF (2020). *Social protection nets "support project": collection of true life stories*.

<https://www.unicef.org/burundi/media/1486/file/ENG-Merankabandi-LivretA4-paysage-print-medium.pdf>

United Nations Development Programme. (2015). *Social safety net cash transfers and resilience strengthening in Liberia*. <https://info.undp.org/docs/pdc/Documents/LBR/SSNET%202015%20AWP.pdf>

United Nations Development Programme. (2020). *The next frontier: human development and the Anthropocene*.

<http://hdr.undp.org/en/2020-report>

USAID (2020). *USAID transform WASH: an assessment of sanitation financing options for enterprises and households*.

https://www.ircwash.org/sites/default/files/learning_note_sanfin_28_sept_2020_approved.pdf

Vaughan, E., & Frankenberger, T. R. (2018). *Resilience measurement practical guidance note series 3: resilience capacity measurement*.

<https://www.fsnnetwork.org/resource/resilience-measurement-practical-guidance-series-guidance-note-3-resilience-capacity>

Walcott, R., Schmidt, C., Kaminsky, M., Singh, R., Anderson, L., Desai, S., & de Hoop, T. (2021). *Women's groups, covariate shocks, and resilience: an evidence synthesis of past shocks to inform a future response*.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350591369_Women's_Groups_Covariate_Shocks_and_Resilience_An

[Evidence Synthesis of Past Shocks to Inform a Response to COVID-19](#)

Wenham, C., Smith, J., & Morgan, R. (2020). COVID-19: *The gendered impacts of the outbreak*.

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)30526-2/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)30526-2/fulltext)

Woolcock, M. (1998). *Social capital and economic development: toward a theoretical synthesis and policy framework*.

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A:1006884930135>

World Bank (n.d.). *Living standards measurement survey: integrated surveys on agriculture*.

<https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/lsms/initiatives/lsms-ISA>

World Bank (2020a). *Living standards measurement survey-supported high-frequency phone surveys on COVID-19*.

<https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/lsms/brief/lsms-launches-high-frequency-phone-surveys-on-covid-19>

World Bank (2020b). *COVID-19 impact monitoring round 4 August 2020, Nigeria..*

<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/724481601914108506/pdf/Fourth-Round-Results.pdf>

World Bank (2020c). *COVID-19 impact monitoring round 2 November 2020, Uganda..*

<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/849351604069345438/pdf/Findings-from-the-Second-Round-of-the-High-Frequency-Phone-Survey.pdf>

World Bank (2021). *Productive inclusion measures and adaptive social protection in the Sahel*.

<https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/945281604463808844/pdf/A-Case-Study.pdf>

World Health Organization (2018). *Women join hands to oust Ebola from the Democratic Republic of the Congo*.

<https://www.afro.who.int/news/women-join-hands-oust-ebola-democratic-republic-congo>

World Vision (2020). *Out of time: COVID-19 aftershocks*. <https://www.wvi.org/publications/report/coronavirus-health-crisis/aftershocks-out-time>

World Vision (2020). *Leveraging cash programming to build longer-term food security and resilience in Kenya: a case study*.

https://www.wvi.org/sites/default/files/WVK_FAO%20Case%20Study_FINAL.pdf

Zulu, J., & Peleka, V. (2015). *Strengthening cash transfers for access to finance, livelihood and entrepreneurship: impact study*.

<http://careevaluations.org/wp-content/uploads/evaluations/zambia-scale-project-impact-study-report.pdf>